Mineta Transportation Institute Releases a Report Suggesting that Small Improvements in Bus Rapid Transit Can Deliver Big Benefits

Niles and Jerram discuss how these benefits can come at much lower cost than making substantial infrastructure investments.

San Jose, Calif., June 28, 2010 – The Mineta Transportation Institute (MTI) has released a new research report, From Buses to BRT: Case Studies of Incremental BRT Projects in North America, authored by John Niles and Lisa Callaghan Jerram. Results suggest that incremental improvements, applied widely to regional bus networks, may achieve significant benefits at less cost when compared to substantial infrastructure investments in just one or a few corridors. Further, the availability of BRT elements in many incremental combinations suggests that an expanded policy framework may enable objective comparison across these options and funding allocations among projects that achieve the greatest benefit at the lowest cost.

This study examines five approaches to Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) systems implemented by public transit agencies in California, Oregon, and Ontario. Many types of BRT are used in North America, including rubber-tire transit lines using many combinations of techniques to improve bus service, such as bus-only lanes and roads, pre-boarding fare collection, transit priority at traffic signals, stylish vehicles with extra doors, bus stops similar to light rail stations, and high-frequency service.

“The case studies as a group show that North American BRT is not a one-size-fits-all solution,” said Mr. Niles. “Rather, it is a discretionary combination of elements that can be assembled many ways over time, depending on circumstances and needs. This latitude provides many benefits to transit agencies, including the ability to match physical infrastructure with operating requirements. But the wide range of options also creates planning challenges. For example, when does the expense and construction time of a dedicated lane or guide way pay off in higher performance? And, if so, over what portions of the route should such infrastructure be implemented?”

Across the various BRT elements that include guide ways, stations, vehicles, technologies, and operations patterns, the specific elements selected for a BRT route can be implemented all at once, or in incremental stages. Increments can be geographical extensions, additional features, or both.

This flexibility has substantial policy implications in the available expanded choices for transit investment. Transportation accounts for nearly one-third of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States. Achieving President Obama’s goal to reduce emissions by 80 percent by 2050 will require fundamental
changes in transportation planning and delivery, including ensuring that many more urban travelers have viable public transit options.

“Bus performance improvement offers quick results at a reasonable public cost,” said Ms. Jerram. “For example, the case studies show that the Los Angeles Metro Rapid network’s 26 bus lines were implemented far more quickly and for billions of dollars less than the four L.A. rail lines. Yet these enhanced buses are serving 78 percent as many passenger trips as the entire rail system.”

As reflected in federal law, the Federal Transit Administration’s capital grants program – New Starts – requires corridor-level development, as opposed to system-wide improvements. There is no provision for a jurisdiction to consider, as part of the alternatives analysis process, whether the funding level for a major corridor-level project could achieve even greater benefits if applied to more modest system-wide improvements. Expanded policies could take that into account.

For a free copy of the report, go to http://www.transweb.sjsu.edu/project/2704.html
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