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Local and regional planners struggle to keep up with rapid changes in mobility patterns. This exploratory research is framed with the overarching goal of asking if and how geo-social network data (GSND), in this case, Twitter data, can be used to understand and explain commuting and non-commuting travel patterns. Statistics capturing human mobility are expensive to obtain and deteriorate quickly as existing mobility patterns change and new ones emerge. Planners have been relying on US Census LODES data, which explicitly captures only commuting trips, and seems unsatisfying because only some 16.6% of all vehicle trips are work-related (FHWA 2017). GSND potentially offers a solution, as data derived from repeat origin-destination pairs of the same Twitter ID indicate trips regardless of purpose.

We set out to answer the following research questions:

1. Is it possible to extract travel flow patterns in the Bay Area from GSND and if so, how can this be done efficiently?

2. To what degree do commuter flow patterns identified in GSND correlate with official LODES commuting data?

3. Can GSND be used to explain non-commuting trips?

Study Methods

Approximately 33 million geo-referenced Bay Area tweets were harvested for the study period from 2010 until early 2020. They were filtered by repeat occurrences of origin/destination (O/D) pairs and categorized by time of day and day of week. Each of these pairs, as well as all LODES O/D’s were then routed as shortest paths on the Open Street Maps network of roads. This study is limited to road trips only; further research should apply routing procedures for transit trips as well. For the GSND, we attributed trip purpose by the dominant land use in the O/D census blocks. We then compared the road segment loads of the two input data sets and found not only incredible high rates of correlation but also nearly complete spatial randomness among their differences, which suggests that the findings...
below are scale-independent and applicable in all parts of the study region. Twitter’s 2015 geolocation policy change resulted in a dramatic reduction of available GSND. Since then, smaller temporal samples have shown to be a poor predictor of local traffic loads.

**Findings**

GSND are suitable to capture the over 80% of non-commuting trips that keep our roads busy. GSND is not suitable for characterizing real-time or short-term commuting patterns but is complementary to exiting commuting data. Translated into road segment loads, GSND and LODES data are virtually indistinguishable, which means that LODES data are an excellent substitute for overall transportation demand.

**Policy/Practice Recommendations**

The research project set out to determine whether GSND may be used to augment LODES data beyond commuting trips and whether it may serve as a short-term substitute for commuting trips. It turns out that the reverse is true and the common practice of employing LODES data to extrapolate to overall traffic demand is indeed justified.

This means that expensive and rarely comprehensive surveys are now only needed to capture trip purposes. Regardless of trip purpose (e.g., shopping, regular recreational activities, dropping kids at school), the LODES data is an excellent predictor of overall road segment loads.
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