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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The application of Tire-Derived Aggregates (TDA) as a green, durable, and economically-efficient material, enhances the sustainability of transportation infrastructure. Throughout the course of this research study, the application of TDA in combination with expanded clay (EC) aggregates will be investigated in concrete slabs used in road pavements and bridge decks serving non-auto traffic, such as bicycle routes, through a set of experimental tests and life-cycle cost analyses. To this end, TDA, which is obtained from recycled tires, and EC, produced in rotary kilns, substitute coarse aggregates in conventional concrete. The final product, also known as lightweight rubberized concrete, is durable and economically-efficient. It also enhances the sustainability of transportation infrastructure by mitigating the necessary maintenance and rehabilitation needs of these slabs. In this report, an experimental study has been undertaken to first estimate mechanical properties of lightweight rubberized concrete using 100% EC, 100% TDA, and a mixture of 20% EC – 80% TDA; the TDA was replaced by the volume of the EC aggregates. Next, a series of static flexural and dynamic impact-fatigue tests were performed on simply-supported beam specimens and slab assemblies, respectively, to measure both modulus of rupture and durability when subjected to the applied loads. The cyclic testing results confirmed a lower flexural strength of the rubberized concrete specimens. However, the specimens exhibited an ability to withstand larger plastic deformations up until the point of failure. Using the results of impact-fatigue tests, a life-cycle cost analysis was also performed, which confirmed long-term benefits of constructing green and durable infrastructure, using TDA and EC, on transportation investments. In conclusion, using these durable materials in infrastructural construction will lessen their maintenance and rehabilitation needs. Further, this application will divert waste tires from landfills.
I. INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES

Non-auto transportation infrastructure serves as an efficient and environmentally conscious means for public mobility. An initiative towards sustainable design and construction of the concrete slabs that compose these routes promotes both green sources of materials and reduced economic maintenance costs over their life cycle. As a result, this research aims to investigate the application of tire-derived aggregates (TDA) in combination with expanded clay (EC) aggregates in precast concrete slabs in road pavements and bridge decks serving non-auto traffic, such as bicycle routes. Given that TDA is a recycled, durable, and economically-efficient material, this project aims to enhance its usage and its ability to increase the sustainability of such transportation infrastructure, along with attempting to influence future decision-making on the rehabilitation and maintenance of such roads.

The use of precast concrete elements in pavement applications has been successfully applied for over 40 years in the United States. Precast concrete has also proven to be a durable, high-performance solution for bridges. Based on this precedence of reliability, precast concrete panels will be used for pavement and bridge deck applications to design and construct the non-auto transportation routes in this project.

Incorporated within this research, TDA, which is recycled from waste rubber materials in tires, replaces coarse aggregates in concrete, to address the long-term maintenance and rehabilitation needs of the precast concrete slabs (see Figure 1). Tires are made of durably engineered materials in order to provide safe, reliable, and predictable behavior for wheels of vehicles. As a result, using these materials will facilitate the construction of infrastructure with similar beneficial properties, reducing their maintenance and rehabilitation needs while also mitigating the amount of waste tires sitting in landfills. In this project, EC was also used as an efficient and durable lightweight coarse aggregate suitable for a wide range of applications in construction. The application of EC in precast concrete slabs allows the easy movement and transportation of these members. The final product, namely lightweight rubberized concrete has higher energy dissipation when compared to conventional concrete. This enhancement of energy absorption places TDA concrete at a unique advantage over its conventional counterpart. This, however, comes at a cost, as rubberized concrete has a lower strength than conventional, and as a result, an optimal balance must be reached between energy absorption and strength.1-3

This research project explores the possibility of applying TDA concrete in precast concrete slabs and bridge decks through the investigation of their mechanical properties and overall performance for non-auto transportation applications. Furthermore, a life-cycle cost analysis will be conducted to investigate the long-term benefits of constructing green and durable infrastructure on future investments in transportation.
Figure 1. Tire-Derived Aggregate Concrete
II. LITERATURE REVIEW

An extensive review of literature related to material properties of TDA and EC was undertaken as part of this study and is summarized in the following sections. Results from prior experimental findings on sustainable concrete components and structures using these materials are also highlighted herein.

TIRE-DERIVED AGGREGATE CONCRETE

According to the California Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle), the state currently generates approximately 40 million waste tires per year. As a result, CalRecycle urges researchers to work towards finding the advantages of the recycled material. TDA has already been used for several applications in California, including embankment fill material, retaining wall backfill material, vibration damping material, asphalt pavements, and traffic barriers. Several research studies have been performed to increase the diversion of waste tires from landfills by promoting TDA in Civil Engineering applications, as presented below.

Several researchers have conducted research in reference to the hardened properties of concrete. They provided analysis pertaining to the difference in the content of tire aggregates within concrete, and the ultimate impact on the compressive and splitting tensile strength. It was concluded that although these hardened properties of concrete decreased with an increase in rubber content, the material still contained a large energy absorption capacity. The reduction in compressive strength as discussed in prior studies has been traced to being caused by entrapped air. As a result, some experiments increased the compressive strength of the rubberized concrete by simply by incorporating de-airing agents within the mix.

Rostami et al. provided further analysis with regard to proper bonding between rubber particles and cement paste when incorporating tire aggregates with a rough surface or pretreatment within the concrete mix, resulting in a higher compressive strength. The paper concluded that concrete containing water-washed rubber particles has a larger compressive strength by approximately 16%.

Segre and Joekes presented work on the surface modification of tire rubber, ultimately increasing the adhesion of rubber to cement. The rubber aggregate used within the experiment was treated on the surface with NaOH for a time span of 20 minutes, prior to mixing with other materials. A comparison of the results from (a) as-received tire aggregate concrete mix and (b) NaOH-treated tire aggregate concrete mix concluded that the latter has a higher toughness and results in a reduction in porosity.

In a study conducted by Siddique and Naik, the physical properties of concrete containing scrap-tire rubber were obtained. The paper discussed how the application of shredded/chipped tires, as well as ground rubber, affects the concrete's properties when compared to crumb rubber aggregates. Conducting the slump test allowed these researchers to determine the workability of fresh concrete through the use of assorted tire aggregate (i.e., shredded/chipped and crumb) at 10%, 20%, 40%, 60%, 80%, and 100% rubber
replacements by the volume of the coarse aggregate. With an increase in rubber content within the mixture, it was concluded that there was a decrease in slump values. Additional properties discussed throughout the course of the experiment included air content and unit weight of the rubberized concrete. Considering the dependence of unit weight on air content within a mixture, the research showed that an increase in the rubber content increases the air content, thereby decreasing the unit weight of concrete.

Al-Tayeb et al.\(^2\) analyzed the performance of rubberized and hybrid concrete structures under static and impact load conditions. Throughout the course of their experiment, rubberized concrete samples were developed (with the dimensions of 1.97-inch x 3.94-inch x 5.91-inch) with 5%, 10%, and 20% replacement of sand (fine aggregate) by waste crumb rubber. Three types of specimens were used within the experiment, including plain concrete, rubberized concrete, and double layer concrete (with rubberized concrete placed on the upper region and plain concrete on the bottom). In addition to a conventional hammer impact test, all of the specimens were statically tested under a three-point bending load in accordance with ASTM C78.\(^12\) It was shown that partial substitution of fine aggregate by rubber, reduced compressive and tensile stresses by 5–20% and 11–17%, respectively. The elastic modulus determined was also found to be reduced by 8–22%. Plotting the obtained bending loads against displacement for each specimen showed that the bending loads increased with an increase in the percentage of sand replacement by crumb rubber. Maximum bending loads were observed in the hybrid specimen. Furthermore, the rubberized portion at the upper region of the hybrid specimen, subject to impact loads, had enhanced the specimen’s ability to absorb energy.

Vadivel\(^13\) created a total of 12 cylindrical specimens (150-mm diameter and 64-mm height) categorized into 4 groups. \(R_0\) was established as the control specimen used within the experiment; \(R_1\) contained 6% rubber crumbs replacement by the volume of the fine aggregate (FA); \(R_2\) contained 6% rubber crumbs replacement by the volume of the coarse aggregate (CA); \(R_3\) contained 3% rubber crumbs and 3% chiseled truck tire chip replacements for FA and CA. When conducting the experiment, impact testing was performed using the equipment fabricated within the laboratory, which contained a standard manual operated 7.72-lb compaction hammer with a 48-inch drop. The hammer was dropped repeatedly, and the number of blows required to form both the first visible crack within the upper surface of the specimen as well as at ultimate failure was recorded. It was shown that \(R_1\) was able to consume approximately 80% of the input energy due to impacts. These samples failed through gradual compression. \(R_2\) consumed the least energy when placed in comparison with the other specimens. \(R_3\) showed significant plastic energy and withstood large deformations, which indicated a larger energy dissipation capacity of the specimen.

Miller and Tehrani\(^14\) provided further research regarding the toughness and ductility of lightweight rubberized concrete for rubber replacement ratios of 0% to 100% at 20% increments for lightweight expanded shale aggregates. It was concluded that the strength of concrete mixtures is reduced with the addition of rubber particles. Within the experiment, a dynamic impact flexure test on a concrete beam sized 6-inch × 6-inch × 21-inch was also performed in order to determine the toughness index and ductility of the rubberized concrete through a falling mechanism. To perform the test, a falling weight was dropped
from a height determined using a simplified energy equation. This equation relates to the minimum strength and corresponding deflection observed during a static flexure test. The dynamic results were obtained through recording the acceleration–time history of test units using an accelerometer. This allowed the force-time relationship and net impulse for each drop to be calculated. It was also observed that the value of flexural strength decreased nonlinearly as the rubber replacement ratios varied from 0% to 100%. However, flexural toughness and energy dissipation capacity of the concrete significantly increased at rubber replacement values of 80% and 100%.

EXPANDED CLAY

Lightweight Expanded Clay (EC) aggregates have a long history of incorporation in structures dating to ancient civilizations, but their structural properties have been studied in more depth as their usage has increased in the past 70 years. Initially, lightweight aggregates in general were made from volcanic rock material, such as pumice and tuff, but increasing demand has forced the industrial development of such aggregates. EC is specifically composed of materials including shale, clay, and slate, and is produced within rotary kilns at 1100°C. The resulting expandability of EC makes it a reliable, durable, and lightweight material. Mainly used for cast-in-place skyscrapers and bridge decks, EC is now frequently employed in precast applications, where its lightweight nature allows the easy handling and transportation of precast concrete members without compromising their structural integrity.

To the authors’ knowledge, no published data is available on the application of both TDA and EC in concrete pavement slabs, serving non-auto traffic. To this end, this project aims to investigate the durability and sustainability of these concrete elements through a set of impact fatigue testing, which mainly replicates the loading condition caused by bicycles, as well as life-cycle cost analyses.


III. EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

This research study investigates the acceptability of lightweight rubberized concrete application in non-auto transportation slabs using a series of experimental tests. The experimental program is composed of two phases: materials testing (Phase I) and structural components testing (Phase II). The latter consists of two parts: static flexural testing of beam specimens (Phase II- Part 1) and dynamic impact-fatigue testing of slab assemblies (Phase II- Part 2). In what follows, a detailed description of the test units, experimental setups, and instrumentations is presented.

DESIGN MIXES AND MATERIALS

Lee et al. studied the mechanical properties of the roller-compacted concrete used in biking pavements. In accordance with their research, a concrete compressive strength of 3000 psi would be appropriate for the biking loading, which has also been used in this research study. To evaluate the mechanical properties of rubberized lightweight aggregate concrete, Miller and Tehrani also incorporated a 3000 psi compressive strength for the control mix.

Three mix designs were considered in this research, including 0% (MIX A), 80% (MIX B), and 100% (MIX C) TDA replacements by the volume of the light-weight EC coarse aggregates. The mix containing 0% replacement ratio was used as the control mix. The rubber replacement ratios of 80% and 100% were selected following the recommendations of Miller and Tehrani to achieve a higher flexural toughness for the specimens. The unit weight of TDA and EC is respectively 71.8 and 107.9 pcf with the corresponding specific gravity of 1.15 and 1.73. Note that the size of TDA is 3/8-inch and it does not contain any steel fibers. Figure 2 presents the sieve analysis results of EC aggregates.

![Figure 2. Sieve Analysis Results of Expanded Clay Aggregates](image-url)
Table 1 shows the concrete mix design calculation for one ft³ volume of MIX A. Tables 2 and 3 respectively present the volume and weight of the materials required to cast one ft³ of concrete. The total weight/volume of the materials was calculated by estimating the volume of all test specimens used in this research (i.e., cylinders, beams, and slabs).

### Table 1. Design Mix for 1 ft³ of Concrete – Control Mix (MIX A)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Desired proportion by weight</th>
<th>Specific gravity</th>
<th>lb per 100 lb of cement</th>
<th>Volume (ft³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>D = B× 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>E = D/(62.4 C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>151.8</td>
<td>F = E / Total Volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>388.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Design Mix Proportion in ft³ for 1 ft³ of Concrete

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MIX</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Cement</th>
<th>Sand, FA</th>
<th>LWA</th>
<th>TDA</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A – Control</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B – 80%</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – 100%</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Design Mix Proportion in lb for 1 ft³ of Concrete

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MIX</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Cement</th>
<th>Sand, FA</th>
<th>LWA</th>
<th>TDA</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>15.88</td>
<td>33.09</td>
<td>50.25</td>
<td>29.49</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>128.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>15.88</td>
<td>33.09</td>
<td>50.25</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>15.68</td>
<td>120.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>15.88</td>
<td>33.09</td>
<td>50.25</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>19.60</td>
<td>118.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Description of Test Specimens

Table 4 summarizes the test matrix. The information provided in this table reflects the number of specimens for each concrete mix.

### Table 4. Test Matrix (for Each Concrete Mix)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase I – Cylinder</td>
<td>6 in. (diameter) × 12 in. (height) (ASTM Standard)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Compression Testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase II – Part 1 Beam</td>
<td>21 in. (length) × 6 in. (width) × 6 in. (height) (ASTM Standard)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Static Flexural Testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase II – Part 2 Slab</td>
<td>27 in. (length) × 18 in. (width) × 4 in. (thickness)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Impact-Fatigue Testing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Three different concrete mix designs (MIX A-C) are used in this research study.
The original dimensions of the slabs were 42-inch × 42-inch × 4-inch, joined along the longitudinal direction using conventional dowel bar connections with the transverse spacing of 18-inch. For the purpose of testing, the slab width was considered to be equal to the spacing of the bars, so that the test unit only accommodated for one set of connectors. Furthermore, a length of 27-inch was considered for each slab to ensure that the two wheels of the bicycle, with an average wheelbase (i.e., the distance between the front and rear axles of a bicycle) of 18-inch, were positioned on each slab. These test specimens were properly constrained in the experimental setup to reflect the actual condition of the slabs.

Design of the Dowel Bars in Slabs

The dowel bars, with 18-inch spacing, were designed for the shear forces acting near the joint. The diameter of the dowel bar was calculated using the shear strength of the material and the applied shear force at the connection. Consequently, one No. 3 bar with a total length of 12.5-inch (with a 0.5-inch gap between the slabs) was used to join the slabs, as shown in Figure 3. Also, following ACI 318-1418, a minimum temperature and shrinkage reinforcement was designed for the slabs. To this end, two layers of ½-inch 19 gauge galvanized welded wire mesh with the dimensions of 24-inch × 15-inch was placed in each slab, with a cover concrete of 1.5-inch.

Figure 3. Dowel Bar Connection in Slab Assemblies

FABRICATION AND ASSEMBLY OF TEST SPECIMENS

Figure 4 shows the construction of the concrete specimens and their placement in the curing room. Throughout the course of experimentation, a set of smart sensors, using electroactive materials, were used in one of the beams: MIX C (see Figure 4). The data collected by these sensors was compared to that of those captured using physical sensors and was presented in the proceedings of IMAC XXXVII – Society for Experimental Mechanics (SEM) Conference.20
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Mesh
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Rigid Rods used to hold the tubes in place during pouring concrete
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Figure 4. Construction of Specimens

Slump Test (an average slump of 6–7 in. was reported for different mixes)

Fabricated concrete specimens

Placement of smart sensors in the beam

Beam specimens in the curing room
TEST SETUP, LOADING PROTOCOL, AND INSTRUMENTATION

Phase I: Materials Testing

The compressive strength of the concrete was measured by breaking cylindrical concrete specimens, after 28 days of curing, in a compression testing machine. ASTM C39 standard\textsuperscript{20} test method for Compressive Strength of Cylindrical Concrete Specimens was followed for the testing of the specimens. The Universal Testing Machine (UTM) located within the concrete laboratory at Fresno State was used for the testing of specimens (see Figure 5).

![Universal Testing Machine (UTM) for Materials Testing](image)

**Figure 5. Universal Testing Machine (UTM) for Materials Testing**

In this test, a compressive axial load was applied to the smooth surface of the cylindrical specimen, and specimens containing an uneven or rough surface were sawed or ground to ensure accurate results were obtained. The loading rate in accordance with the ASTM standard is 900 lb/sec, which was maintained throughout the course of testing. Loading was continued until compressive failure of the specimens was reached. Compressive strength was then calculated by dividing the failure load by the cross-sectional area of the cylinders.
Phase II: Part 1 – Structural Components Testing: Beams

This phase presents static flexural testing of simply-supported concrete beams. As shown in Figure 6, supports were placed 1.5-inch from each end of the beam and bearing pads were placed in between the supports and each beam itself in order to prevent stress concentrations at these locations. The experimental setup for four-point flexural testing of beams consisted of a vertical load cell with a built-in string pot (SPOT), to measure vertical displacement, and a triangular load applicator to distribute the region of maximum stress across the middle 6-inch portion of the beams (see Figure 6). The speed of the loading was set to ensure that a static loading of the beams was maintained. As shown in Figure 6, at the mid-span, two 60-mm strain gauges were placed at the top and bottom surfaces of the beams, along with a vertical linear variable deformation transducer (LVDT) to measure the maximum vertical deflections. The LVDT was mounted on a fixed support on the frame of the loading apparatus, allowing it to connect with the beam for measurements. The LVDT and SPOT were connected to a DataPAC data acquisition system for recording, while the strain gauges were connected to a separate data acquisition system. The sampling rate of both data acquisition systems were 500 ms and these two data sources were later synchronized with one another prior to data analysis.
Figure 6. Overview of Experimental Setup and Instrumentation for Beams
For this research, half-cyclic flexural testing of beams was conducted to mimic biking load condition on the concrete specimens (i.e., push-release). ACI 437\textsuperscript{22} testing protocol was used for the tests. The cyclic load test consisted of the application of loads in increments until the point of failure. Using ACI 318 guidelines, the modulus of rupture was calculated for each beam. Using these values, the equivalent failure stress was computed and used to estimate the critical load value $P_{cr}$, which would then be used to determine the load increments. The load increments were as follows: $0.25P_{cr}$, $0.50P_{cr}$, $0.75P_{cr}$, $P_{cr}$, $1.25P_{cr}$, $1.50P_{cr}$, and $2.00P_{cr}$ (see Figure 7). As shown in Figure 7, two cycles were applied at each load increment. It was noted that the beam specimens had the possibility of breaking before their entire loading sequence was completed.

![Figure 7. Loading Protocol – Beam Testing](image)

**Phase II: Part 2 – Structural Components Testing: Slabs**

Impact-fatigue testing was performed on slab assemblies to evaluate their service-life performance when subjected to several impact loads caused by bicycles. This test was performed using the equipment fabricated within the structural lab of Fresno State, as shown in Figure 8. In this setup, the impact force was produced by dropping a weight from a certain height (following the basic principles of free fall and impact in mechanics) and the fatigue feature was added by using a motor, set with a certain frequency, to repeat the impact cycles. As shown in Figure 8, two gears were attached to the structural frame. These gears were then connected with each other using a chain, while one of these gears was additionally connected to the motor at its back. Two 18-lb weights, spaced at a wheelbase distance of 18-inch, were attached to the gears. Note that a 1-inch slot was fabricated in the gears that allowed for the free fall of the weights while the gears were rotating.

The slabs were placed inside a soil box to be supported by the soil pad (see Figure 8) and constrained in the longitudinal direction using a series of springs at their outer ends. The stiffness of these springs was estimated to accommodate for a horizontal movement of the slabs due to temperature change (i.e., equal to the spacing between the slabs). The slabs were connected with each other using a No. 3 dowel bar at their interior surface.
A series of sensors were attached to the slabs to measure their responses. This included 90-mm strain gauges at the bottom (tension) surface of the slabs, two SPOTs to measure deflections at the location of the impact load, and two accelerometers.

**Figure 8. Overview of Experimental Setup and Instrumentation for Slabs**
**Impact Loading on Concrete Slabs – Analytical Modeling**

An analytical study was performed using SAP2000\textsuperscript{23} to estimate the maximum tensile stresses developed in the slabs due to impacts. The SAP model is presented in Figure 9. As shown in this figure, the soil pad, placed beneath the concrete slabs, was modeled with a series of springs, with the stiffness calculated using the following equation (FEMA 356\textsuperscript{24}). This equation is used for slabs that are flexible comparing to the supporting soil.

\[ k_{sv} = \frac{1.3G}{B(1-\nu)} \]  

where \( G \) is the shear modulus, \( B \) is the width of slab, and \( \nu \) is the Poisson’s ratio. The theoretical value of \( K_{sv} \), as calculated using Equation 1, was then experimentally verified by applying a constant static load on the slabs and measuring the corresponding displacements by SPOT. This verification was conducted through a trial and error process and by changing the compaction ratio of sand.

![Figure 9. Modeling of Slab Assemblies in SAP2000](image_url)

**Figure 9. Modeling of Slab Assemblies in SAP2000**

In this model, the slabs were allowed to move freely in the transverse direction but were partially restricted in the longitudinal direction with “line springs”. These springs simulated the friction caused by the adjacent slabs and their stiffness was computed using \( f = k\Delta \), where \( f \) is the friction force acting on adjacent slab, \( \Delta \) is the max distance that slabs are allowed to move longitudinally (i.e., 0.25-inch), and \( k \) is the stiffness of the representative spring. The friction force acting beneath each slab was calculated using \( f = \mu N \), where \( \mu = \tan \phi \) is the friction coefficient between the precast concrete and soil (sand), \( \phi \) is the friction angle of sand (in this study 30\(^{\circ}\)), and \( N \) is the vertical load acting on adjacent slabs (in this case, the weight of the slabs). Accordingly, a friction force of 69.7 lb was estimated, which resulted in a stiffness of 358.3 lb/in. for the “line springs”. The two slabs were also connected at their interior surfaces, using a “link spring” to allow for free horizontal movement relative to one another.
Equation 2 was used to estimate the impact force, $P_{\text{max}}$, exerted on the slabs due to the falling weights, $P_{st}$, of 18 lb from a height $h$ of 1-inch.

$$P_{\text{max}} = nP_{st} = \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + 2\left(\frac{h}{\Delta_{st}}\right)}\right)P_{st}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Using the SAP2000 model, a static deformation, $\Delta_{st}$, of 3.33, 3.85, and $4.26 \times 10^{-4}$ inch, was recorded at the location of point loads for MIX A, B and C, respectively, which resulted in the corresponding theoretical impact forces of 4.43, 4.12, and 3.92 kips (using Equation 2). When the slabs were loaded with these equivalent impact forces, a maximum tensile stress of 186 (MIX A), 173 (MIX B) and 165 psi (MIX C) was estimated, as shown in Figure 10 for MIX A. These values are 36%, 67% and 81% of the corresponding tensile strength values, as recorded for the beams and reported in Table 8.

![Tensile Stress Distribution](image)

*Figure 10. Tensile Stress Distribution at the Bottom Face of the Slabs with MIX A when Subjected to Impact Loading, $P_{\text{max}}$*
IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

PHASE I: MATERIALS TESTING

After the cylinders were removed from the curing room, they were placed in the UTM and loaded until a large drop in loading was observed or the specimen experienced some fracture pattern. Once the specimen cracked, the loading was stopped, and the final load and type of fracture pattern were recorded. The recorded load was divided by the area of the specimen to determine the compressive strength of the concrete. Five types of typical fracture patterns are shown in Figure 11, following ASTM C39.20

![Fracture Pattern Diagram](image)

**Figure 11. Fracture Pattern According to ASTM C39**

Tables 5 to 7 and Figure 12 present information about the compressive testing of a total of 9 cylinders (three per mix design).

**Table 5. Compressive Strength of Cylinders – MIX A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial number</th>
<th>Area of specimen (in²)</th>
<th>Maximum loading (lb.)</th>
<th>Compressive strength (psi)</th>
<th>Fracture Pattern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28.28</td>
<td>70,810</td>
<td>2504</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>28.28</td>
<td>94,050</td>
<td>3326</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>28.28</td>
<td>71,060</td>
<td>2513</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4*</td>
<td>28.28</td>
<td>89,700</td>
<td>3718</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* One additional batch was tested for the control mix (MIX A).
### Table 6. Compressive Strength of Cylinders – MIX B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial number</th>
<th>Area of specimen (in²)</th>
<th>Maximum loading (lb.)</th>
<th>Compressive strength (psi)</th>
<th>Fracture pattern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28.28</td>
<td>28,080</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>V/VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>28.28</td>
<td>23,990</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>V/VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>28.28</td>
<td>25,020</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>V/VI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 7. Compressive Strength of Cylinders – MIX C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial number</th>
<th>Area of specimen (in²)</th>
<th>Maximum loading (lb.)</th>
<th>Compressive strength (psi)</th>
<th>Fracture pattern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28.28</td>
<td>18,390</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>V/VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>28.28</td>
<td>13,190</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>V/VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>28.28</td>
<td>13,150</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>V/VI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 12. Fracture Pattern in Cylindrical Specimens – Compression Test

As shown in Figure 13, the average concrete strength of MIX A, B, and C are 3015, 909, and 521 psi, respectively. Given that the design compressive strength of concrete was 3000 psi, it can be stated that 30% and 17% of the desired compressive strength were achieved, respectively, for MIX B and C, due to the replacement of coarse aggregates with tire-derived aggregates. It is important to note that this strength is sufficient in sustaining bicycle loads in non-auto transportation routes. Also, as shown in Figure 12, only localized cracks were observed at corners of the rubberized concrete cylinders while one single
crack split the control specimen (with MIX A) into two pieces. It can be concluded that a more consistent and ductile type of fracture was observed in the concrete cylinders with added rubber aggregates compared to the control specimen, which fractured in a brittle manner with little warning prior to complete failure.

**Figure 13.** Average Compressive Strength of Concrete Cylinders (in this figure, $\mu$ is the average and $\sigma$ is the standard deviation)

**PHASE II: PART 1 – STATIC FLEXURAL TESTS OF BEAMS**

As summarized in Table 4, three beams from each mix design were tested. In order to quantify the crack formation on each beam and compare them among one another, the specimens' north and south faces were marked and the load at which each crack's width measurement was taken was recorded. The crack formations of MIX A's beams were not measured during experimentation, given that they were expected to exhibit brittle behavior when experiencing load. Figure 14 shows the initial and final crack formation in one of the beams with 100% TDA. According to this figure, rubberized beams sustained larger deformations before failure. The ductile behavior of these beams under the flexural loading was also confirmed by comparing their fracture surfaces, as presented in Figure 14. Note that a flat fracture surface of the MIX A's beam indicates its brittle performance.
Experimental Results and Discussion

Figure 15 shows the stress-strain curves of the specimens when subjected to multiple cycles of loading up to the point of failure (as depicted by the loading protocol in Figure 7). Due to the space limitations, the responses of three beams with different mix designs (i.e., A, B, and C) are primarily presented here. In the figures included, the tensile stress was calculated from the bending equation, as shown below:

\[
\sigma = \frac{Mc}{I} = \frac{(P \cdot 6)}{bh^3} = \frac{18P}{bh^2}
\]  

(3)

where, \(\sigma\) represents the stress, \(M\) represents the bending moment, \(c\) represents the distance from the neutral axis to the surface of the beam (which was 0.5\(h\) given that the beam was of width \(b\) and height \(h\)), \(I\) represents the moment of inertia (based on the cross-section of the beams), and \(P\) represents the load values. Of these values, \(b\) and \(h\) were measured directly as geometric properties of each beam and \(P\) was recorded using the DataPAC data acquisition system. As shown in the final simplified expression for stress, these three values are the only required measurements needed to compute the
stress values. The ultimate tensile stress, namely the experimental modulus of rupture (MOR), was estimated by using the $P_{cr}$ in Equation 3. These stress values were then plotted against the strain values, recorded from the strain gauges at the bottom surface of the beam, to yield the following stress–strain curves.

Figure 15 also depicts the comparison of stress-strain plots for the three beams at the final loading cycle, prior to failure. As shown in this figure, adding TDA to the concrete mix resulted in a smaller modulus of rupture but an increased ductility capacity. It should be noted that the stiffness (i.e., the initial slope of the stress-strain curve) of the rubberized beams was reduced after several cycles of loading (i.e., stiffness degradation), which is also depicted in Figure 15.
Experimental Results and Discussion

Figure 15. Stress-Strain Curves for Beams with MIX A, B, and C

Table 8 summarizes the critical load, modulus of rupture, stiffness degradation ratio, maximum deflection (using the SPOT readings), and maximum gap opening (measured throughout the test) for beams with MIX A, B, and C.

Table 8. Summary of Experimental Results – Beams

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix design*</th>
<th>Maximum load (lb)</th>
<th>MOR (psi) experiment/expected**</th>
<th>Stiffness degradation ratio %</th>
<th>Maximum deflection (in.)</th>
<th>Maximum gap opening (in.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIX A</td>
<td>6610</td>
<td>514/309</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>Sudden failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIX B</td>
<td>3160</td>
<td>258/170</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIX C</td>
<td>2440</td>
<td>203/128</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*only results from one set of beams are presented.  
**ACI 318-14, $f' = 7.5\lambda\sqrt{f'_c}$, where $\lambda=0.75$ for lightweight concrete.

The flexural toughness, or the ability of the specimens to absorb energy before fracture (i.e., energy absorption capacity), depends on both strength and ductility, and it can be estimated as the area under the stress-strain curve. Specimens with higher energy absorption capacity perform satisfactorily when subjected to repeated cycles of loading during their service life due to fatigue. Following ASTM C1018-97, toughness index $I_6$, the number obtained by dividing the area up to a deflection of 3.0 times the first-crack, and toughness index $I_{10}$, the number obtained by dividing the area up to a deflection of 5.5 times the first-crack deflection by the area up to first crack, were estimated for three beams and plotted in Figure 16. As shown in this figure, the energy absorption capacity of the beams was significantly enhanced by replacing EC with TDA.
PHASE II: PART 2 – IMPACT FATIGUE TESTS OF SLABS

The slabs were subjected to multiple cycles of impact loading and the testing was conducted until the tensile strain at the bottom surface of the slabs reached the cracking strain. This is defined as the strain corresponding to the maximum tensile stress as measured during flexural testing of the beam specimens. As shown in Figure 15, these strain values are equal to 181, 643.5, and 835.6 \(\mu\varepsilon\), respectively for MIX A, B, and C.

Figure 17 shows the number of loading cycles against the tensile strain measured at the bottom surface of the slabs. As mentioned above, the testing was stopped when the tensile strain reached the cracking values presented in Figure 15.
Experimental Results and Discussion

Figure 17. Number of Loading Cycles vs. Strain – Impact Fatigue Testing of Slab Assemblies
According to the plots depicted in Figure 17, the slab assemblies of MIX A, B, and C experienced 113252, 441171, and 524590 loading cycles up to their ultimate tensile strain, respectively. It can be concluded that replacing 80% and 100% of EC with TDA has increased the durability of slabs by 290% and 363%, respectively. This information was used to perform the life-cycle cost analysis, as presented in the following section.
V. LIFE-CYCLE COST ANALYSIS

INITIAL COSTS

The initial cost of concrete involves three proposed mix design proportions in the experimental phase of the project. These mix design proportions include MIX A, B, and C with 100-0, 20-80, and 0-100 volumetric portions of expanded clay and tire-derived aggregates, respectively. Figure 18 presents these values per cubic meter of concrete. Incorporated costs per unit weight of materials utilize actual purchase prices in this project. The cost of placement is essentially identical for all mix designs and hence, does not influence the comparative scope of this study. For comparison purposes, the total cost of construction of a bike lane using conventional concrete, including earthwork and drainage, is nearly 115 $/m^2, with the concrete slab cost of nearly 26 $/m^2. These yields to nearly 890 $/m^3 cost of construction excluding the pavement. Thus, the cost of materials for TDA and EC concrete is nearly 30% of the total cost.

![Figure 18. Initial Cost of Materials Per Cubic Meter of Concrete](image)

MAINTENANCE COSTS

Maintenance operations typically include minor preventive maintenance projects to keep the serviceability level of the pavement and major corrective projects to rehabilitate the pavement. Diamond grinding (3.6 $/m^2) and patching (120 $/m^2) are common practices for these maintenance strategies, where patching is typically needed on 1% of the pavement area only. Thus, the cost of maintenance is effectively 4.8 $/m^2. Experimental results in this project, presented in Section IV of the report, have indicted the number of load cycles that are required to reach cracking and failure thresholds. Table 9 lists a summary of these findings. The values of strain at peak and failure were presented in Figure 15. The ductility...
ratio in this table is indicative of the ability of pavement to withstand considerable number of traffic load cycles after passing the peak load. Figure 19 provides a schematic view of the relationship between strength and load cycles.

Table 9. Summary of Experimental Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pavement performance</th>
<th>Peak stress (MPa)</th>
<th>Number of cycles at peak stress</th>
<th>Strain at peak (10⁻⁶ mm/mm)</th>
<th>Strain at failure (10⁻⁶ mm/mm)</th>
<th>Ductility ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mix A</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>113,252</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix B</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>441,171</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>2564</td>
<td>3.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix C</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>524,590</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>4292</td>
<td>5.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 19. Schematic Relationship Between Stress and Load Cycles](image)

Minor maintenance strategies can enhance the serviceability of pavement between peak and failure loads. Replacement is required when the pavement reaches the failure point. The number of cycles may represent time after conversion using the annual average daily traffic of bikes, i.e., 200 AADT for 365 days per year. It is also evident that MIX A has considerably higher peak strength values and thus serves as a more economic design due to its greater optimized sections. Thus, the comparative cost of materials for MIX B and MIX C has been considered based on 140% and 160% of the thickness of MIX A, respectively. Table 10 shows the initial and maintenance costs for a zero-interest economic environment. The results of this table indicate considerable reduction in the life cycle cost due to residual strength of ductile mixtures.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Life-Cycle Costs</th>
<th>Material Costs $/m³</th>
<th>Placement Costs $/m³</th>
<th>Maintenance Intervals (year)</th>
<th>Maintenance Costs $/m³</th>
<th>Life $/m³</th>
<th>Annual Value $/m³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mix A</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix B</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>67.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix C</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Adjusted for peak strength
2 Includes earthwork, drainage, etc.
3 Assuming AADT = 200
4 Pavement only, including 100% grinding and 1% patching
5 Maintenance intervals multiplied by ductility ratios, as summarized in Table 9
6 Assuming zero interest for simplicity
VI. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

This report presented the results obtained from a series of materials and structural components tests (i.e., compression, static flexural, and dynamic impact-fatigue), as well as life-cycle cost analyses on lightweight rubberized concrete specimens using a total of nine cylinders, nine simply supported beams, and three slab assemblies. Three mix designs were incorporated in this study with 0% (i.e., MIX A or the control mix), 80% (i.e., MIX B), and 100% (i.e., MIX C) Tire-Derived Aggregates (TDA) replacements by the volume of the light-weight Expanded Clay (EC) coarse aggregates. The conclusions drawn from this study are presented below:

1. Materials/Compression Testing of Cylinders: The compressive strength of concrete cylinders was reduced by 70% and 83% by replacing 80% (MIX B) and 100% (MIX C) of EC coarse aggregates with TDA, respectively. It is worth mentioning that the achieved strength was still sufficient in sustaining bicycle loads in non-auto transportation routes. Localized cracks were observed at corners of the rubberized concrete cylinders while one single crack split the control specimen into two pieces. This confirmed a ductile type of fracture in the concrete cylinders with added rubber aggregates compared to the control specimen, which fractured in a brittle manner with little warning prior to complete failure.

2. Static Flexural Testing of Beams: The modulus of rupture (MOR) of the concrete beams was reduced by 50% and 61% by replacing 80% (MIX B) and 100% (MIX C) of EC coarse aggregates with TDA, respectively. On the other hand, the rubberized specimens (i.e., MIX B and C) sustained larger deformations at their mid-spans before their flexural failure. Test results also showed a maximum gap opening of 1.29 and 1.51-inch before failure, respectively for the ductile beams with MIX B and C, while the control beam (MIX A) experienced a sudden failure. Additionally, the flexural toughness was increased by a factor of 2.78 and 4.42 at rubber replacement ratios of 80% and 100%, respectively. This confirmed the higher energy absorption capacity of rubberized concrete specimens.

3. Dynamic Impact-Fatigue Testing of Slabs: By replacing 80% and 100% of EC with TDA, the slab assemblies respectively sustained 2.9 and 3.6 times more cycles of impact loading up to their fatigue failure, compared to the control specimen.

4. Life-Cycle Cost Analysis: Allowing for similar placement and maintenance costs for the three slab assemblies and an AADT of 200, it was concluded that their service life (to reach failure) has been increased from 1.6 years for the control slab to 24 and 37 years for the rubberized slabs with MIX B and C, respectively. The results of this section indicated considerable reduction in the life cycle cost due to residual strength of ductile mixtures.

In conclusion, the application of green strategies for the design and construction of non-auto transportation infrastructure can help improve transportation safety and secure long-term economic benefits of transportation investments. By promoting the use of TDA in non-auto roads/bridge, the diversion of waste tires, which is currently about 40 million per year in California (CalRecycle), from landfills can be increased.
Within the outcomes presented in this report, several additional areas were identified, which require further investigation. A few recommendations for future study include:

1. Enhancing the compressive and flexural strength of rubberized concrete slabs.

2. Considering the impact of different processing methods of TDA on the mechanical properties of rubberized concrete slabs.

3. Studying the effects of existing environmental changes (e.g., temperature changes) on the strength and durability capacities of rubberized concrete slabs.

4. Conducting experimental studies on large-scale prototypes exposed to naturally occurred loads. Such extension may include placement of a concrete slab as part of an existing sidewalk or bike way and measure applied forces and deformations over time.
### ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AASHTO</td>
<td>American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM</td>
<td>American Society for Testing and Materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Coarse Aggregate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>Expanded Clay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>Fine Aggregate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVDT</td>
<td>Linear Variable Differential Transformer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOR</td>
<td>Modulus of Rupture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOT</td>
<td>String Potentiometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDA</td>
<td>Tire Derived Aggregate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTM</td>
<td>Universal Testing Machine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ENDNOTES


18. ACI Committee 318. “Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete (ACI 318–14) and Commentary (ACI 318R–14).” American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, MI:519. 2014.
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