



Numerical Investigations of Air Flow Around a Model Freight Truck with a Rear Active Flow Control

Hamir Rahai, PhD Samuel Lopez Jeremy Bonifacio





CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY LONG BEACH

CSU TRANSPORTATION CONSORTIUM

transweb.sjsu.edu/csutc

MINETA TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE

Founded in 1991, the Mineta Transportation Institute (MTI), an organized research and training unit in partnership with the Lucas College and Graduate School of Business at San José State University (SJSU), increases mobility for all by improving the safety, efficiency, accessibility, and convenience of our nation's transportation system. Through research, education, workforce development, and technology transfer, we help create a connected world. MTI leads the four-university California State University Transportation Consortium funded by the State of California through Senate Bill 1.

MTI's transportation policy work is centered on three primary responsibilities:

Research

MTI works to provide policy-oriented research for all levels of government and the private sector to foster the development of optimum surface transportation systems. Research areas include: bicycle and pedestrian issues; financing public and private sector transportation improvements; intermodal connectivity and integration; safety and security of transportation systems; sustainability of transportation systems; transportation / land use / environment; and transportation planning and policy development. Certified Research Associates conduct the research. Certification requires an advanced degree, generally a PhD, a record of academic publications, and professional references. Research projects culminate in a peer-reviewed publication, available on TransWeb, the MTI website (http:// transweb.sjsu.edu).

Education

The Institute supports education programs for students seeking a career in the development and operation of surface transportation systems. MTI, through San José State University, offers an AACSB-accredited Master of Science in Transportation Management and graduate certificates in Transportation Management, Transportation Security, and High-Speed Rail Management that serve to prepare the nation's transportation managers for the 21st century. With the

active assistance of the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), MTI delivers its classes over a state-of-the-art videoconference network throughout the state of California and via webcasting beyond, allowing working transportation professionals to pursue an advanced degree regardless of their location. To meet the needs of employers seeking a diverse workforce, MTI's education program promotes enrollment to under-represented groups.

Information and Technology Transfer

MTI utilizes a diverse array of dissemination methods and media to ensure research results reach those responsible for managing change. These methods include publication, seminars, workshops, websites, social media, webinars, and other technology transfer mechanisms. Additionally, MTI promotes the availability of completed research to professional organizations and journals and works to integrate the research findings into the graduate education program. MTI's extensive collection of transportation- related publications is integrated into San José State University's world-class Martin Luther King, Jr. Library.

Disclaimer

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and accuracy of the information presented herein. This document is disseminated in the interest of information exchange. The report is funded, partially or entirely, by a grant from the State of California. This report does not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the State of California or the Mineta Transportation Institute, who assume no liability for the contents or use thereof. This report does not constitute a standard specification, design standard, or regulation.

REPORT 19-09

NUMERICAL INVESTIGATIONS OF AIR FLOW AROUND A MODEL FREIGHT TRUCK WITH A REAR ACTIVE FLOW CONTROL

Hamid Rahai, PhD Samuel Lopez Jeremy Bonifacio

May 2019

A publication of **Mineta Transportation Institute** Created by Congress in 1991

College of Business San José State University San José, CA 95192-0219

TECHNICAL REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1. Report No. 19-09	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.			
4. Title and Subtitle Numerical Investigations of Air Flow Ard	ound a Model Freight Truck with a Rear	5. Report Date May 2019			
		6. Performing Organization Code			
7. Authors Hamid Rahai, PhD https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4171-466X Samuel Lopez Jeremy Bonifacio		8. Performing Organization Report MTI Report CA-MTI-1865			
9. Performing Organization Name and A Mineta Transportation Institute	Address	10. Work Unit No.			
College of Business San José State University San José, CA 95192-0219		11. Contract or Grant No. ZSB12017-SJAUX			
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address State of California SB1 2017/2018 Trustees of the California State University Sponsored Programs Administration 401 Golden Shore, 5th Floor Long Beach, CA 90802		13. Type of Report and Period Covered Final Report			
		14. Sponsoring Agency Code			
15. Supplemental Notes					
16. Abstract					
We performed numerical and experimental investigations of aerodynamic characteristics of a modified Ahmed body with two trailing vertical rotating cylinders. The freestream mean velocity was 24.5 m/sec. (55 MPH) for the numerical investigations and 16.67 m/sec. (37.4 MPH) for the experiments. For the numerical investigations, two 5 cm-diameter vertical cylinders were placed on the back side of the model, protruding 1/8 diameter into the freestream and 1/8 diameter from the back. The cylinders extend the height of the model and rotating in the opposite direction, injecting momentum into the back of the Ahmed body. The simulations were performed at three different rotation rates, with velocity ratios (λ) of 0.5, 1, and 2, where the velocity ratio λ is defined as the ratio of axial tangential mean velocity at the cylinder's surface to the freestream mean velocity. Simulations of Reynolds-Averaged Navier-Stokes Equations (RANS) were performed with the K- ω Shear-stress transport turbulence model. Approximately 15 million unstructured polyhedral cells were used for each simulation. The numerical results indicate that at a velocity ratio of 0.5, with rotating cylinders, the overall drag is reduced by nearly 4%. However at the velocity ratios of 1 and 2, the drag coefficient was increased. Reviewing flow details indicates that at high velocity ratios, the freestream flow overshoots the rotating cylinders, creating reduced back pressure and thus increased the overall drag.					
velocity ratios of 0.3, and 0.6 (higher velocity ratios were not investigated due to difficulty of maintaining a high rotation rate). Results indicate more than 5% drag reduction at the velocity ratio of 0.3, and nearly 8% reduction at the velocity ratio of 0.6. The reduction in the drag force corresponds to increase in the average back pressure.					
17. Key Words trucks, drag, air resistance, emissions, greenhouse gases	18. Distribution Statement No restrictions. This document is availa The National Technical Information Ser	able to the public through vice, Springfield, VA 22161			

19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of this page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price
Unclassified	Unclassified	30	

Copyright © 2018 by **Mineta Transportation Institute** All rights reserved

> Mineta Transportation Institute College of Business San José State University San José, CA 95192-0219

Tel: (408) 924-7560 Fax: (408) 924-7565 Email: mineta-institute@sjsu.edu

transweb.sjsu.edu

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Funding for this research was provided by the State of California SB1 2017/2018 through the Trustees of the California State University (Agreement # ZSB12017-SJAUX) and the California State University Transportation Consortium. The authors thank Editing Press, for editorial services, as well as MTI staff, including Executive Director Karen Philbrick, PhD; Deputy Executive Director Hilary Nixon, PhD.; Graphic Designer Alverina Eka Weinardy; and Executive Administrative Assistant Jill Carter.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	4
I. Introduction	5
II. Procedure and Techniques	8
III. Results and Discussions	11
IV. Conclusions	23
Endnotes	24
Bibliography	25
Abbreviations and Acronyms	28
About the Authors	29

LIST OF FIGURES

1.	Air Flow Around a Tractor-Trailer (McCallen et al, 2004)	5
2.	Devices for Base Drag Reduction (Choi et al, 2014)	6
3.	Modified Ahmed Body with Control Cylinders	9
4.	Flow Characteristics Around the Modified Ahmed Body: (a) Mean Pressure, (b) Mean Velocity, (c) Vorticity, and (d) Velocity Vector	15
5.	Flow Characteristics Around the Modified Ahmed Body with Rotating Cylinders At Λ =0.5: (a) Mean Pressure, (b) Mean Velocity, (c) Vorticity, and (d) Velocity Vector	19
6.	Contours of Mean Pressure on the Back Surface: (a) Modified Ahmed Body, (b) Modified Ahmed Body With Stationary Cylinders, (c) Modified Ahmed Body With Rotating Cylinders at Λ =0.5	21
7.	The Experimental Model	22

LIST OF TABLES

1. Comparisons of Average Back Pressure and Drag Force for Different Velocity Ratios

22

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

We performed numerical and experimental investigations of aerodynamic characteristics of a modified Ahmed body with two trailing vertical rotating cylinders. The freestream mean velocity was 24.5 m/sec. (55 MPH) for the numerical investigations and 16.67 m/sec. (37.4 MPH) for the experiments. For the numerical investigations, two 5 cmdiameter vertical cylinders were placed on the back side of the model, protruding 1/8 diameter into the freestream and 1/8 diameter from the back. The cylinders extend the height of the model and rotating in the opposite direction, injecting momentum into the back of the Ahmed body. The simulations were performed at three different rotation rates, with velocity ratios (λ) of 0.5, 1, and 2, where the velocity ratio λ is defined as the ratio of axial tangential mean velocity at the cylinder's surface to the freestream mean velocity. Simulations of Reynolds-Averaged Navier-Stokes Equations (RANS) were performed with the K- ω Shear-stress transport turbulence model. Approximately 15 million unstructured polyhedral cells were used for each simulation. The numerical results indicate that at a velocity ratio of 0.5, with rotating cylinders, the overall drag is reduced by nearly 4%. However at the velocity ratios of 1 and 2, the drag coefficient was increased. Reviewing flow details indicates that at high velocity ratios, the freestream flow overshoots the rotating cylinders, creating reduced back pressure and thus increased the overall drag.

The experimental verifications of the numerical results were performed in the CSULB/ Boeing low-speed wind tunnel with velocity ratios of 0.3, and 0.6 (higher velocity ratios were not investigated due to difficulty of maintaining a high rotation rate). Results indicate more than 5% drag reduction at the velocity ratio of 0.3, and nearly 8% reduction at the velocity ratio of 0.6. The reduction in the drag force corresponds to increase in the average back pressure.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, or Assembly Bill 32 (AB 32), requires reduction of California's Green House Gases (GHG) emissions to 1990 levels by 2020. It directs the California state agencies to adapt regulations and implement investment strategies to achieve maximum GHG reductions with cost effective technologies. Governor Brown's Executive Order B-30-15 has established a new interim statewide greenhouse gas emission reduction target to reduce greenhouse gas emissions to 40% below 1990 levels by 2030, to ensure California meets its target of reducing greenhouse gas emissions to 80% below 1990 levels by 2050.

Road vehicles such as trucks and tractor-trailers are major means of transporting goods across the globe, and their aerodynamic drag is a major contributor to their fuel consumption. These vehicles are also major sources of pollutants. Reducing their aerodynamic drag results in reduction in their fuel consumption as well as the amount of pollutants introduced into the atmosphere. Figure 1 shows simulation models of air flow around tractor-trailers. A tractor-trailer's flow structure includes multiple stagnation points, gap flow, underbody flow, and a large wake region. All of these contribute to the aerodynamic drag of the vehicle.



Figure 1. Air Flow Around a Tractor-Trailer (McCallen et al, 2004)

There is a significant pressure drop in the wake region, where separated shear layers form recirculation regions. When crosswind is present, there is additional drag due to increased separation, as well as increased side force on the vehicle.

There have been extensive studies on different methods of reducing drag of modified box-shaped ground vehicles such as vans, trucks, trailers and motor homes, some of which will be reviewed presently. These studies have resulted in aerodynamic shaped driver-passenger cabins, cab side extenders, and trailer side skirts. These modifications are mainly applied to the front and sides of the vehicles, reducing pressure and secondary

flow at these locations, resulting in a reduced overall pressure differential and a net reduction in drag force.

Montoya and Steers (1974) and Steers and Saltzman (1977) have shown that with certain add-on devices added to the front of a conventional cab over engine tractor-trailer, substantial decreases in drag coefficient and fuel consumption are obtained in the zero-crosswind condition.¹ However, when the crosswind is present, these reductions were significantly less.

The base pressure of a tractor-trailer or of any box-shaped vehicle can be increased by making the tail of the vehicle more aerodynamic, resulting in elimination of the flow separation region and, consequently, in a substantial increase in the base pressure. One such device for making the tail more aerodynamic is a boat-tail attachment. Studies by Peterson (1981), Saltzman (1982), and Lanser et al (1996) have shown that attaching a boat-tail or other aerodynamic device to the rear of a truck can reduce the drag of the vehicle by as much as 10%.² Figure 2 shows some of these devices and methods. However, for rear add on devices, due to the length they add to the trailer, and their interference with loading and unloading through the trailer's back doors, they have not been widely adopted by truck manufacturers.



Figure 2. Devices for Base Drag Reduction (Choi et al, 2014)

Modi et al (1990) conducted experimental investigations on reducing drag of tractor-trailer trucks through momentum injection.³ They used rolling surfaces comprised of motor-driven moving cylinders at the rear edge of the top surface, for injecting momentum into the wake

and reducing the area of flow separation. Their results showed substantial increase in the base pressure, resulting in a significant reduction in the overall drag of the vehicle. Modi et al (1991a, 1991b, 1992) further investigated the wake of bluff and streamlined objects, by placing rotating controlled cylinders near the stationary objects, and found significant reductions in the objects' wake's unsteadiness and drag coefficients.⁴

Mitel (2001) studied the wake of a stationary cylinder with two control rotating cylinders placed at either 0.01*D* or 0.075*D* spacing above and below the cylinder, where *D* is the diameter of the stationary cylinder.⁵ His results indicate that when the velocity ratio, the ratio of the tangential mean velocity of the surface of the rotating cylinders to the freestream mean velocity, is 5, significant reductions were obtained in the overall drag coefficient and in the unsteady aerodynamic forces acting on the stationary cylinder. The larger gap between the stationary cylinder and the rotating control cylinders, resulted in higher drag reduction.

II. PROCEDURE AND TECHNIQUES

Numerical Method:

Figure 2 shows top and side views of a modified Ahmed body with control cylinders. The Ahmed body was 104.4 cm in length, 28.8 cm in height and 32.7 cm in width. The standard Ahmed body has a rear that sloped downwards from top toward bottom. However, for the current investigation, the rear surface has been made vertical, to simulate the rear of a tractor-trailer, without changing the overall length. Two control cylinders 5 cm in diameter (*D*) were added behind the trailer, one on each side. The cylinders were placed with a gap of 1/8*D* from the rear of the trailer, and protruding from by 1/8*D* into the side freestream airflow. The freestream mean velocity was 24.5 m/sec (55 MPH), which corresponds to an approximate unit Reynolds number of 1.6×10^6 . The control cylinders were rotating at the same RPM, but in opposite directions, injecting momentum into the back of the trailer. The study was performed at velocity ratios of 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0. The freestream mean flow momentum is $(\rho U_{m})U_{m}$ and the momentum injected by the rotating cylinders into the back of the modified Ahmed body is $(\rho U_{m})U_{m}$ the momentum ratio is the ratio of the later to the former and after simplification, it becomes equivalent to the velocity ratio defined as:

$$\lambda = \frac{U_t}{U_{\infty}} \tag{1}$$

Here, U_{∞} is the freestream mean velocity, and _{Ut} the tangential velocity of the rotating cylinder is calculated as:

$$U_t = \frac{2\pi r\Omega}{60} \tag{2}$$

where Ω is revolutions per minute and *r* is the radius of the cylinder. Thus, at the three velocity ratios chosen, the cylinders' tangential velocity moved at half the speed of the air, at the speed of the air, and at twice the speed of the air, respectively.

The simulations were carried out using Siemens STAR-CCM+ CFD software, on a UNIX-based high performance computer with 32 cores. A three-dimensional, constantdensity incompressible fluid flow with implicit time-steps was modeled, and used to solve the Reynolds-Averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) equations. The segregated flow solver algorithm was considered to solve the x, y, and z momentum equations, along with continuity equation using predictor-corrector approach. The Shear-stress transport turbulence model (the Wilcox K- ω turbulence model) was used.

After performing grid sensitivity tests, for increased numbers of polyhedral cells comprising the Ahmed body, until variation in the drag coefficient was reduced to a maximum of 1%, we chose to use approximately 8.75 million polyhedral cells for the simulations without rotating cylinders in place. However, when the rotating cylinders were added, approximately 15 million cells were required to obtain results with this level of precision.



Figure 3. Modified Ahmed Body with Control Cylinders

Experimental Method:

Figure 6 shows the experimental model. The model was built using the same dimensions as the numerical model. Pressure taps were placed on the windward face, along the midsection plane through the body and at the back face of the model. The experiments were performed in the CSULB/Boeing low-speed wind tunnel with a cross-sectional expanded working area of 2.4 m x 2.4 m, over a length of 3 m. The cross-sectional effective flow area with uniform flow condition was 1.2 m x 0.9 m, over a length of 3 m. Variations in the axial mean velocity and freestream turbulence intensity were less than 0.5% and 2% respectively. A one-component balance composed of an axial linear bearing connected to a 111.2 N load cell from Transducer Techniques, Inc. was used for drag measurements. A 16 channel Scanivalve, in connection with two transducers, were used for pressure measurements. One pressure transducer was used for measuring reference pressure while the other recorded the scanned pressure of the Ahmed body. The freestream mean velocity was 16.67 m/sec. and the two vertical cylinders RPMs were adjusted to correspond to velocity ratios of 0.3 and 0.6. Due to difficulty in maintaining high RPM, higher velocity ratios were not investigated.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Numerical Investigations:

Figure 3 shows the contours of mean pressure, mean velocity, vorticity, and velocity vector for the modified Ahmed body without the rotating cylinders. Examining the Ahmed body from front to back, we see that the windward face of the Ahmed body experiences high mean pressure (stagnation pressure), followed by a significant drop in pressure due to flow acceleration around the round edges, a moderate increase in pressure on the body, and finally negative pressure in the near wake, due to flow separation. There is increase in vorticity on the body due to variation in velocity and flow acceleration, and there is flow separation in the wake. At the mid-section plane, flow is symmetric with separating vortices moving toward the back. The overall drag coefficient is calculated to be 0.249.

Figure 4 shows the corresponding contours for the modified Ahmed body with cylinders rotating at a velocity ratio of 0.5. The rotating cylinders inject momentum into the back, resulting in pressure recovery and thus in a reduced drag coefficient. The overall drag coefficient has been reduced by nearly 4%. There is an increase in pressure at the face of the cylinders where there is a flow impingement. However, due to rotation, pressure is reduced with flow acceleration. There is an increase in vorticity due to rotation.

Figure 5 shows the contours of pressure on the back surface for the modified Ahmed body without cylinders, for the modified Ahmed body with non-rotating cylinders, and for the modified Ahmed body with cylinders rotating at a velocity ratio of 0.5. For the modified Ahmed body without cylinders, there is negative pressure around the circumferential of the back surface, with a positive pressure in the middle region due to recirculating vortices. The pressure shows vertical symmetry (left-right); however, it does not show horizontal symmetry (top-bottom), because of the ground effect.

With the addition of the cylinders without rotation, there is a significant reduction in the circumferential pressure and vertical symmetry is no longer observed. When the cylinders rotate, the surface pressure adjacent to the cylinders increases, and there are increases in pressure on the back surface, resulting in pressure recovery and drag reduction.

We have completed similar simulations for the modified Ahmed body with the rotating cylinders at velocity ratios of 1 and 2; results indicate that drag coefficients are increased, when compared to the baseline model (the modified Ahmed body without cylinders), by 13% and 66% respectively. Please note that the addition of the side cylinders without rotation results in increased drag coefficient by more than 5%. However, at the velocity ratio of 0.5, the results indicate a nearly 4% reduction in the drag coefficient; this suggests that for some ranges of velocity ratio lower than one, the rotating cylinders can overcome the additional drag imposed by the protruded cylinders and lower the overall drag coefficient.

Experimental Investigations:

Table 1 shows the experimental results of average change in back pressure and drag force normalized against the corresponding results without cylinders' rotation. For the velocity ratio λ =0.3, a 5% reduction in drag coefficient was obtained, with a 2.6% increase in average back pressure. However, at the velocity ratio λ =0.6, the drag reduction is nearly 8% with an 11% increase in the average back pressure. Because the effects of momentum injection into the back of the model could be non-uniform across the surface, we used the averaged back pressure, instead of a single back pressure, for assessing the effects of rotating cylinders on the overall drag force. The experimental results for the overall drag reduction at velocity ratio of 0.3 are qualitatively similar to the corresponding numerical results at velocity ratio of 0.5. These results seems to indicate that for some range of velocity ratios less than one, especially near 0.5, there is potential for reducing the overall drag with the addition of rotating cylinders.



(a)





(b)



(c)



Figure 4. Flow Characteristics Around the Modified Ahmed Body: (a) Mean Pressure, (b) Mean Velocity, (c) Vorticity, and (d) Velocity Vector



(a)



(b)



(C)



Figure 5. Flow Characteristics Around the Modified Ahmed Body with Rotating Cylinders At Λ=0.5: (a) Mean Pressure, (b) Mean Velocity, (c) Vorticity, and (d) Velocity Vector



(b)



(c)

Figure 6. Contours of Mean Pressure on the Back Surface: (a) Modified Ahmed Body, (b) Modified Ahmed Body With Stationary Cylinders, (c) Modified Ahmed Body With Rotating Cylinders at Λ=0.5



Figure 7. The Experimental Model

λ	0.3	0.6
$\Delta P_{back} / \Delta P_{b0}$	4%	11%
D/D ₀	0.95	0.92

Table 1.Comparisons of Average Back Pressure and
Drag Force for Different Velocity Ratios

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Numerical simulations of airflow past an Ahmed body with and without rear rotating control cylinders have been performed. The freestream mean velocity was at 24.5 m/ sec. (55 MPH), and the unit Reynolds number was 1.6x10⁶. Two rotating cylinders of 5 cm in diameter were placed at the rear on each side edge at 1/8D from the back surface, protruding 1/8D into the freestream. Cylinders rotated in opposite directions of each other toward the back surface, injecting momentum into the back of the Ahmed body. Numerical simulation results were calculated for velocity ratios, defined as the ratio of the tangential mean velocity of the cylinders' surface to the freestream mean velocity, of 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0. Cylinder rotation with a velocity ratio of 0.5 resulted in a nearly 4% reduction in the overall drag coefficient as compared with the corresponding results for the modified Ahmed body without the cylinders rotating. However, when the velocity ratios are increased to 1 and 2, significant increases in the drag coefficient were obtained. Evaluation of the latter results indicate that at high velocity ratio, the cylinders' surface is moving faster than the freestream velocity and is too high to be able to extract momentum from the free-stream flow and inject it into the back of the Ahmed body. Experimental results at velocity ratios of 0.3 and 0.6 are in gualitative accord with the numerical results, suggesting that for velocity ratios near 0.5, there is potential for overall drag reduction in large vehicles by means of a rotating cylinder system.

ENDNOTES

- 1. Leonardo Montoya and L. L. Steers, "Aerodynamic drag reduction tests on a full-scale tractor-trailer combination with several add-on devices," Reduction of Aerodynamic Drag of Trucks Conf.; 10–11 (1974); L.L. Steers and E. J. Saltzman, "Reduced truck fuel consumption through aerodynamic design," *Journal of Energy* 1: 312–318 (1977).
- Randall L. Peterson, "Drag reduction obtained by the addition of a boattail to a box shaped vehicle," NASA Dryden Flight Research Center NASA-CR-163113 (1981);
 E. J. Saltzman, "A summary of NASA Dryden's truck aerodynamic research," SAE Paper 821284 (1982); Wendy R. Lanser et al., "Aerodynamic performance of a drag reduction device on a full-scale tractor/trailer," SAE Paper 912125 (1991).
- 3. V. J. Modi et al., "Boundary-Layer Control of Bluff Bodies Through Momentum Injection," *Journal of Commercial Vehicles* 99, no. 2: 778–794 (1990).
- V. J. Modi et al., "Moving surface boundary-layer control: Studies with bluff bodies and application," *AIAA* 29: 1400–1406 (1991); V. J. Modi et al., "Moving surface boundary-layer control as applied to two and three dimensional bodies," *J. Wind Eng. Ind. Aerodyn.* 38: 83–92 (1991); Y. Kubo et al., "On the suppression of aerodynamic instabilities through the moving surface boundary-layer control," *J. Wind Eng. Ind. Aerodyn.* 41: 205–216 (1992).
- 5. Sanjay Mittal, "Control of flow past bluff bodies using rotating control cylinders," *Journal of Fluids and Structures* 15(2): 291–326 (2001).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Baker C. 2010a. The Flow around High Speed Trains. *J. Wind Eng. Ind. Aerodyn.* 98:277–98.
- Baker C.J. 2010b. The Simulation of Unsteady Aerodynamic Crosswind Forces on Trains. *J. Wind Eng. Ind. Aerodyn.* 98:88–99.
- Baker, C.J., 2001, "Flow and Dispersion in Ground Vehicle Wake," *J. of Fluids and Structures*, Vol. 15, 1031–1060.
- Baker, C.J., Dalley, S.J., Johnson, T., Quinn, A. and Wright, N.G., 2001, "The Slipstream and Wake of a High Speed Train," *J. of Rail and Rapid Transit*, Institution of Mechanical Engineers. Vo. 215, No. 2, 83–99.
- Bearman, P.W., 1997, "Near Wake Flows behind Two- and Three-dimensional Bluff Bodies," *J. of Wind Eng. And Ind. Aerodyn.*, 69–71, 33–54.
- Bearman, P.W., Davis, J.P., and Harvey, J.K., 1983, "Measurements of the Structure of Road Vehicle Wakes," *International J. of Vehicle Design*, SP3, 403–499.
- Bello-Millan, F.J., Makela, T., Parras, L., del Pino, C., and Ferrera, C., 2016, "Experimental Study on Ahmed's body Drag Coefficient for Different Yaw Angles," *J. Wind Eng., Ind. Aerodyn*, 157, 140–144.
- Choi, H., Lee, J., and Park, H., "Aerodynamics of Heavy Vehicles," *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, 2014, 46: 441–68.
- Guilmineau, E., Deng, G.B., Leroyer, A., Queutey, P., Visonneau, M., and Wackers, J., 2018, "Assessment of Hybrid RANS-LES Formulations for Flow Simulation Around the Ahmed Body," *Computers and Fluids*, 176, 302–319.
- Hammache, M., Michaelian, M, and Browand, F., 2001, "Aerodynamic Forces on Truck Models, including two Trucks in Tandem," UCB-ITS-PRR-2001-27, California Path Program, Institution of Transportation Studies, University of California, Berkeley.
- Hanfeng, W., Yu, Z., Chao, Z., and Huhui, H., 2016, "Aerodynamics Drag Refuction of an Ahmed Body Based On Deflectors," *J. Wind Eng., Ind. Aerodyn,* 148, 34–44.
- Kubo, Y., et al., 1992, "On the Suppression of Aerodynamic Instabilities through the Moving Surface Boundary-Layer Control," *J. Wind Eng. Ind. Aerodyn.* 41: 205–216.
- Lanser, W. R., et al., 1991, "Aerodynamic Performance of a Drag Reduction Device on a Full-Scale Tractor/Trailer," SAE Paper 912125.

- McCallen, R., Browand, F., Ross, J.C., eds. 2004. *The Aerodynamics of Heavy Vehicles: Trucks, Buses, and Trains*. Berlin: Springer.
- Mittal, S., 2001, "Control of Flow Past Bluff Bodies Using Rotating Control Cylinders," Journal of Fluids and Structures 15(2): 291–326.
- Modi, V.J., Mokhtarian, F., Fernando, M., and Yokomizo, T., 1989, "Moving Surface Boundary Layer Control as Applied to 2-D Airfoils," AIAA Paper No. 89-0296, New York.
- Modi, V.J., Fernando, M., and Yokomizo, T., 1990, "Drag Reduction of Bluff Bodies Through Moving Surface Boundary Layer Control, "AIAA Paper No. 90-0298, New York.
- Modi, V.J., et al., 1990, "Boundary-Layer Control of Bluff Bodies Through Momentum Injection," *Journal of Commercial Vehicles* 99, no. 2: 778–794.
- Modi, V.J., et al., 1991, "Moving surface boundary-layer control: Studies with bluff bodies and application," *AIAA* 29: 1400–1406.
- Modi, V.J., et al., 1991, "Moving surface boundary-layer control as applied to two and three dimensional bodies," *J. Wind Eng. Ind. Aerodyn.* 38: 83–92.
- Mokhtarian, F., and Modi, V.J., 1988, "Fluid Dynamics of Airfoils with Moving Surface Boundary Layer Control," *J. Aircraft*, 25, pp. 163–169.
- Mokhtarian, F., Modi, V.J., and Yokomizio, T., 1988a, "Effects of Moving Surfaces on the Airfoil Boundary Layer Control," AIAA Paper No. 88-4337-CP, New York.
- Mokhtarian, F., Modi, V.J., and Yokomizio, T., 1988b, "Rotating Air Scoop as Airfoil Boundary Layer Control," *J. Aircraft*, 25, pp. 973–975.
- Montoya, L., and Steers, L. L., 1977, "Aerodynamic Drag Reduction Tests on a Full-Scale Tractor-Trailer Combination with Several Add-On Devices," Reduction of Aerodynamic Drag of Trucks Conf.; 10–11.
- Peterson, R. L., 1981, "Drag Reduction Obtained by the Addition of a Boat-Tail to a Box Shaped Vehicle," NASA Dryden Flight Research Center NASA-CR-163113.
- Prandtl, L., 1935, "The Mechanics of Viscous Fluids," in Aerodynamic Theory, ed. W.F. Durand, vo. III, pp. 34–208, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Saltzman, E. J., 1982, "A Summary of NASA Dryden's Truck Aerodynamic Research," SAE Paper 821284.

- Soper, D., Baker, C., and Sterling, M., 2014, "Experimental Investigation of the Slipstream Development around a Container Freight Train using a Moving Model Facility, " *J. of Wing Eng. Ind. Aerodyn.*, 135, 105–117.
- Steers, L. L., and Saltzman, E. J., 1977, "Reduced Truck Fuel Consumption through Aerodynamic Design," *Journal of Energy* 1: 312–318.
- Swanson, W.M.,1961, "The Magnus Effect: A Summary of Investigations to Date", *J. Basic Eng.* 83, pp. 461–470.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

- D Drag force, Newton
- r Cylinder's radius, m
- P Pressure, Pascal
- U_t Tangential mean velocity, m/sec.
- U₀ Freestream mean velocity, m/sec.
- Ω Cylinder's rotation, RPM
- ρ density, Kg/m³
- λ Velocity ratio = U_t/U₀

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

HAMID RAHAI, PhD

Dr. Hamid Rahai is a professor of Departments of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering & Biomedical Engineering and is Associate Dean for Research and Graduate Studies in the College of Engineering at CSULB. He has taught various classes at both undergraduate and graduate levels in the areas of fluid dynamics, thermodynamics, heat transfer, instrumentation, numerical methods, and turbulence. He has supervised over 65 MS theses and projects and PhD dissertations and has published more than 90 technical papers. He has received in excess of 6 million dollars in grants and contracts from the National Science Foundation, Federal Highway Administration, California Energy Commission, California Air Resources Board, Port of Los Angeles, Caltrans, Boeing Company, Southern California Edison, Long Beach Airport, Long Beach Transit, among others. He has been granted a patent for development of a high efficiency vertical axis wind turbine (VAWT) and another patent with Via Verde Company on wind turbine apparatus. He also has a pending patents related to a new diagnostic system for lung diseases using CFD, a new CVG tape for reducing drag of aircraft and wind turbine blades, and a provisional patent based on current study, reducing drag of trailers with rotating cylinders. For the past 25 years he has been a consultant to the local energy and aerospace industries. Dr. Rahai is the recipient of several Scholarly and Creative Activities Awards, including the 2012 CSULB Impact Accomplishment of the Year in Research, Scholarly, and Creative Activities Award, the 2002–2003 CSULB Distinguished Faculty Scholarly and Creative Activities Award, and the 2004 Northrop Grumman Excellence in Teaching Award. In 2014, Dr. Rahai received the Outstanding Engineering Educator Award from the Orange County Engineering Council in California and in 2019 he was inducted as a senior member of the National Academy of Inventors (NAI).

SAMUEL LOPEZ

Samuel Lopez is a graduate research assistant at the Center for Energy and Environmental Research & Services (CEERS) in the College of Engineering at California State University, Long Beach (CSULB). He is expected to receive his MSME degree in May 2019.

JEREMY BONIFACIO

Jeremy Bonifacio is a graduate research associate and a part-time Lecturer at the Center for Energy and Environmental Research & Services (CEERS) in the College of Engineering at California State University, Long Beach (CSULB). He is a PhD student in the joint PhD program in Engineering and Computational Mathematics between CSULB College of Engineering and Claremont Graduate University (CGU) Mr. Bonifacio has authored and co-authored more than 11 technical papers and is recipient of CSULB innovation challenge award in 2016. He is expected to receive his PhD degree in June 2019.

MTI FOUNDER

Hon. Norman Y. Mineta

MTI BOARD OF TRUSTEES :

Founder, Honorable Norman Mineta (Ex-Officio) Secretary (ret.), US Department of Transportation

Chair, Grace Crunican (TE 2019) General Manager Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART)

Vice Chair, Abbas Mohaddes (TE 2021) President & COO Econolite Group Inc.

Executive Director, Karen Philbrick, PhD (Ex-Officio) Mineta Transportation Institute San José State University

Richard Anderson (Ex-Officio) President & CEO Amtrak

Laurie Berman (Ex-Officio) Director California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) David Castagnetti (TE 2021) Co-Founder Mehlman Castagnetti Rosen & Thomas

Maria Cino (TE 2021) Vice President America & U.S. Government Relations Hewlett-Packard Enterprise

Donna DeMartino (TE 2021) General Manager & CEO San Joaquin Regional Transit District

Nuria Fernandez* (TE 2020) General Manager & CEO Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA)

John Flaherty (TE 2020) Senior Fellow Silicon Valley American Leadership Form

Rose Guilbault (TE 2020) Board Member Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board **Ian Jefferies (Ex-Officio)** President & CEO Association of American Railroads

Diane Woodend Jones (TE 2019) Principal & Chair of Board Lea + Elliott, Inc.

Will Kempton (TE 2019) Retired

Jean-Pierre Loubinoux (Ex-Officio) Director General International Union of Railways (UIC)

Bradley Mims (TE 2020) President & CEO Conference of Minority Transportation Officials (COMTO)

Jeff Morales (TE 2019) Managing Principal InfraStrategies, LLC

Dan Moshavi, PhD (Ex-Officio) Dean, Lucas College and Graduate School of Business San José State University Takayoshi Oshima (TE 2021) Chairman & CEO Allied Telesis, Inc.

Paul Skoutelas (Ex-Officio) President & CEO American Public Transportation Association (APTA)

Dan Smith (TE 2020) President Capstone Financial Group, Inc.

Beverley Swaim-Staley (TE 2019) President Union Station Redevelopment Corporation

Larry Willis (Ex-Officio) President Transportation Trades Dept., AFL-CIO

Jim Thymon (Ex-Officio) Executive Director American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) [Retiring 12/31/2018]

(TE) = Term Expiration * = Past Chair, Board of Trustees

Directors

Karen Philbrick, PhD Executive Director

Hilary Nixon, PhD Deputy Executive Director

Asha Weinstein Agrawal, PhD

Education Director National Transportation Finance Center Director

Brian Michael Jenkins National Transportation Security Center Director

Research Associates Policy Oversight Committee

Jan Botha, PhD Civil & Environmental Engineering San José State University

Katherine Kao Cushing, PhD Enviromental Science

San José State University

Dave Czerwinski, PhD Marketing and Decision Science San José State University

Frances Edwards, PhD Political Science San José State University

Taeho Park, PhD

Organization and Management San José State University

Christa Bailey Martin Luther King, Jr. Library San José State University

