

SISU Research Center 210 N. Fourth St., 4th Fl. San José, CA 95112

Tel // 408.924.7560 Fax // 408.924.7565

transweb.sjsu.edu

## **Board of Trustees**

### Founder

Secretary Norman Y. Mineta **Study Methods** 

## **Honorary Co-Chairs**

Congressman Bill Shuster Congressman Nick Rahall

### Chair

Steve Heminger

## Vice Chair

Stephanie L. Pinson

# **Executive Director**

Rod Diridon, Sr.

Thomas E. Barron Joseph Boardman Donald H. Camph Anne P. Canby Grace Crunican Julie Cunningham William Dorey Malcolm Dougherty Mortimer Downey Nuria I. Fernandez Rose Guilbault Ed Hamberger Diane Woodend Jones Will Kempton Jean-Pierre Loubinoux Michael Melaniphy Norman Y. Mineta Jeff Morales Beverley Swaim-Staley Dean David Steele Michael S. Townes Bud Wright Edward Wytkind

# What Do Americans Think About Federal Tax **Options to Support Public Transit, Highways,** and Local Streets and Roads? Results from Year Four of a National Survey

Asha Weinstein Agrawal, PhD and Hilary Nixon, PhD

MTI Project 1228 June 2013

This research brief summarizes the results of Year Four of a national survey project exploring public support for raising federal transportation revenues through gas,

Linking transportation tax increases to safety, maintenance, and the environment can increase support.

mileage, and sales taxes. The surveys in the third and fourth years also added a focus on understanding support for public transit.

A random-digit-dial telephone survey, conducted from March 4 to April 4, 2013, tested national support for federal gas, mileage, and sales tax options to raise revenue for transportation purposes. Multiple variations on the mileage-tax and gas-tax concepts were presented, to test relative support levels among the options.

A total of 1,501 adults completed the survey in either English or Spanish. For the full sample, which included both land-line and cell-phone numbers, the margin of error was ± 2.5 percentage points at the 95 percent confidence level.

Since this survey project is assessing trends in public support for federal transportation taxes, most survey questions were the same for all four years.

# **Findings**

Key 2013 findings related to raising taxes include:

- Of the II transportation tax options tested, 6 had majority support.
- Linking tax increases to safety, maintenance, or environmental benefits increased support substantially among virtually all sociodemographic groups.
- Support levels varied considerably by the type of tax. When taxes were described with no information other than the tax type, a new sales tax was much more popular than either a gas tax increase or a new mileage tax.

Key 2013 findings specific to public transit include:

- A large majority (80 percent) said better transit is an important priority for their state.
- The majority did not support increasing gas taxes or transit fares to improve transit, but two-thirds (64 percent) supported spending current gas tax revenues on transit.
- Less than half of respondents (46 percent) knew of the federal government's role in funding public transit.

Looking at trends from 2010 to 2013, public opinion about the federal transportation tax options tested has changed little; all four surveys found approximately the same support levels. However, most of the tax options received the highest support levels in 2013.

# **Policy Recommendations**

# Careful program design can increase support for higher gas taxes or a new mileage tax.

The survey results show that the very low support levels for a gas tax increase or a new mileage tax can be raised by modifying how the tax is structured and the way it is described. For example, support rises when revenues are dedicated to specific purposes popular with the public, the tax increase is spread out over several years, or information is provided about how much the increase will cost drivers annually.

# Stressing the environmental, safety, and maintenance benefits will increase support for transportation taxes, including ones for transit.

Devoting revenues to maintenance and safety can increase support levels substantially across the whole population. Also, linking a transportation tax to environmental benefits can strongly increase support among most population subgroups. Linking transit with environmental benefits may be a particularly successful way to increase support for transit revenues.

Trends in Support\* for the Tax Options, 2010-2013

Tax Options	2010 (%)	2011 (%)	2012 (%)	2013 (%)
Gas tax options				
10¢ increase	23	24	20	23
10¢ increase, phased in over 5 years at 2¢ per year	39	39	39	42
10¢ increase, revenues spent to reduce local air pollution	30	48	41	53
10¢ increase, revenues spent to reduce global warming	42	45	41	50
10¢ increase, revenues spent to maintain streets, roads, & highways	***	62	58	67
10¢ increase, revenues spent to reduce accidents & improve safety	***	56	54	62
10¢ increase, revenues spent to add more modern, technoligically advanced systems	_***	50	46	58
10¢ increase, respondents informed of the annual tax burden for the typical driver	32	36	31	40
Mileage tax options				
I¢ per mile	21	22	21	19
I¢ per mile average, but vehicles that pollute more pay more and vehicles that pollute less pay less	33	36	41	39
National 0.5% sales tax	43	45	49	51

<sup>\*</sup>Sum of those who said they "strongly" or "somewhat" supported the option.

# **About the Authors**

Dr. Asha Weinstein Agrawal is Director of MTI's National Transportation Finance Center. Dr. Hilary Nixon is an Associate Professor of Urban and Regional Planning at San José State University.

# To Learn More

For more details about the study, download the full report at transweb.sjsu.edu/project/1228.html

MTI is a University Transportation Center sponsored by the U.S. Department of Transportation's Research and Innovative Technology Administration and by Caltrans. The Institute is located within San José State University's Lucas Graduate School of Business. WEBSITE transweb.sjsu.edu

<sup>\*\*</sup>Options not included in the 2010 survey.